1	David M. Poore, SBN 192541		
2	Scott A. Brown, SBN 177099 dpoore@kahnbrownlaw.com		
2	KAHN BROWN & POORE LLP		
3	110 Kentucky Street		
4	Petaluma, California 94952 Telephone: (707) 763-7100		
	Facsimile: (707) 763-7180		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class		
U	Andrew H. Baker		
7	<u>abaker@beesontayer.com</u> Beeson, Tayer & Bodine		
8	1404 Franklin Street, 5th Floor		
	Oakland, CA 94612		
9	Telephone: (510) 625-9700 ext. 300 Facsimile: (510) 625-8275		
10	, ,		
11	Attorneys for Defendants Teamsters Local 856, Joseph Martinelli		
12	Richard Gibson, Esq.		
10	rgibson@teamsters.org		
13	International Brotherhood of Teamsters 25 Louisiana Ave., NW		
14	Washington, DC 20001		
15	Telephone: (202) 624-6940		
15	Attorneys for IBT, Pro Hac Vice		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
18		ı	
19	KATHLEEN PAULSEN; et al, on behalf	Case No. C08-3109 EDL	
	themselves, and on behalf of all others		
20	similarly situated,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
21		ORDER CONTINUING INITIAL CASE	
	Plaintiffs,	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	
22	v.		
23			
24	LOCAL NO. 856 OF INTERNATIONAL		
	BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS;		
25	INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS; JOE MARTINELLI; and		
26	DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,		
	Defendants.		
27	Detendants.		
28		1	
	STIDLIL ATION AND DRODGED ORDER CONTROL	-1-	

PAULSEN V. LOCAL 856 OF INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS, ET AL. C08-3109 EDL

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED UPON by the parties and their respective counsel of record that the Initial Case Management Conference ("CMC"), presently set for December 23, 2008 at 10:00 a.m. be continued for a period of approximately ninety days to allow defendants an opportunity to respond to the recently amended complaint and provide the parties an opportunity to prepare an Initial CMC Statement and Discovery Plan once the Court rules upon defendants' anticipated Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint was filed with the court on December 5, 2008. Defendants intend to file a Motion to Dismiss, however it has not yet been filed and no hearing date has been set. Until the Court rules upon defendants' Motion to Dismiss, it would be difficult for the parties to separate the issues to address before the court in a Case Management Conference. The parties have also agreed to extend the time for filing initial disclosures in accordance with the new Case Management Conference date.

Good cause exists to continue the Case Management Conference on the following grounds. First, the parties' attorneys previously attended a meet and confer conference to develop a Joint Case Management Conference. However, at the time, there was a motion to dismiss hearing pending and the attorneys were unable to complete the meet and confer conference as the status of the pleadings were unclear in light of the pending Motions to Dismiss. Since that time, plaintiffs have amended their complaint. Defendants' responsive pleading to the First Amended Complaint has not yet been filed, however defendants intend upon filing another Motion to Dismiss. As a result, the preparation of a Joint CMC Statement and Discovery Plan would be premature until defendants' the Court has ruled on defendants' Motion to Dismiss. Finally, the parties are not making this request for any improper purpose, including delay. Instead, the parties are making this request in order to promote judicial economy, including avoiding an unnecessary Initial CMC hearing in which the parties are unable to address the pertinent issues to develop a discovery plan and narrow the factual and legal issues for discovery and trial. The parties are requesting a 90-day extension so as to provide enough time to bring the case at issue and not burden the court with multiple stipulations.

///

Case 3:08-cv-03109-EDL Document 42 Filed 12/18/08 Page 3 of 4

1	SO STIPULATED.	
2		
3	Dated: December 17, 2008	KAHN BROWN & POORE LLP
4		
5		David M. Poore
6		Attorneys for Plaintiff
7		
8	Date: December 17, 2008	BEESON, TAYER & BODINE
9		
10		Andrew H. Baker
11		Attorneys for Defendants Teamsters Local 856, Joseph Martinelli, and
12		Local Counsel for IBT
13	Date: December 17, 2008	International Brotherhood of Teamsters
14		
15		/s/ Richard Gibson
16		Attorneys for Defendants International Brotherhood of Teamsters, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
17		THE VICE
18	///	
19	///	
20	///	
21	///	
22	///	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28		-3-

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED-ORDER CONTINUING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PAULSEN V. LOCAL 856 OF INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS, ET AL. C08-3109 EDL

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED. The Court finds that good cause exists to continue the Initial
4	Case Management Conference. The Initial Case Management Conference is continued from
5	December 23, 2008 toMarch 3, 2009, at10:00 a.m. in Courtroom E, 15 th
6	Floor, San Francisco, California.
7	TATES DISTRICT
8	
9	Dated: _12/18/08 HON-FILT IS SO ORDERED
10	
11	Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte
12	
13	THEN DISTRICT OF CENT
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-4-